## SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES (SLD) TEAM REPORT

		County Schools		
Stu	dent's Full Name	Date		
School				
Parent(s)/Guardian(s)				
Address				
City/State/Zip				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	Reevaluation		
	pecific learning disabilities criteria.	each of the yes/no statements below to determine if the as sufficiently comprehensive to identify the student's	student meet	
1.	special education and related services need procedures specified in <i>Policy 2419</i> .	ds and administered in accordance with evaluation	☐ Yes ☐ No	
2.	academic performance compared to same-age instruction appropriate for the student's age of	of data, the student's <b>level of learning</b> reflects low the peers when provided with learning experiences and the state-approved grade-level standards (West Virginia VCCR]) in one or more of the following areas ( <i>Check</i> 1)	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Oral Expression	☐ Reading Comprehension		
	☐ Listening Comprehension	☐ Reading Fluency Skills		
	☐ Written Expression	☐ Mathematics Calculation		
	☐ Basic Reading Skills	☐ Mathematics Problem Solving		
3.	Identify the method used to determine Eligibil			
	The student fails to achieve a <b>rate of learning</b> to make sufficient progress to meet State-			
Ш	approved grade-level standards (WVCCR) in one or more of the areas identified above when assessed using the MTSS process.			
	OR			
		and weaknesses in performance, achievement	Yes No	
	or both, relative to age, State-approved grade	e-level standards (WVCCR) or intellectual		
	development that is determined by the group specific learning disability.	to be relevant to the identification of a		
4.	The student's achievement deficits are NO	T primarily the result of vision, hearing or motor		
	impairments; intellectual disability; emotional/behavioral disorder; cultural factors, environmental or		Yes No	
	economic disadvantage or limited English proficiency.			
5.		onfirm that lack of appropriate instruction in English eterminant factor in the eligibility decision.	Yes No	
6.	language arts or mathematics was <b>NOT</b> the determinant factor in the eligibility decision.  Evaluation information confirms there is an adverse effect on the student's educational performance.		Yes No	
7.	The student was observed in the learning envi	vironment, including the general classroom setting, to		
	•	and behavior in the areas of difficulty. An observation relevant behavior noted during the observation, and the cademic functioning.	☐ Yes ☐ No	

8. The specific instructional strategies used and the available in the Eligibility Committee Report.	ne student-centered data o	L	Yes No
9. Note any educationally relevant medical finding	gs. Write N/A if no relev	vant medical findings apply:	
The Eligibility Committee used the above evaluation d	lata analysis and discus	sion to determine:	
☐ The student <b>DOES</b> meet the eligibility criteria for a special education and <b>is eligible</b> for special education and <b>DOES NOT</b> meet the eligibility criteria for education and related services as a student with a	d related services. For a specific learning dis	ability and <u>is not</u> eligible for specia	1
The student's parent was notified about the following: Tiered System of Supports) regarding the amount and nat and the general education services that would be provided results of repeated assessments of student progress AND throughout the Multi-Tiered System of Supports process.	ture of student performar d; strategies for increasin the parent's right to requ	g the student's rate of learning;	
	Date	e of parent notification:	_
Eligibility Co	ommittee Members		
ignature	Position	Agreement with EC Determination	1
	Chairperson	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Evaluator/Specialist	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Teacher	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Parent	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Student	☐ Yes ☐ No	
	Other	☐ Yes ☐ No	
NOTE: Any member(s) with dissenting opinions must submit	a separate statement preser	nting the member's conclusions.	
Meeting Notes (optional)			